

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/996,128	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HOUGHTON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1643	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Marina Larson, Ph.D.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 12 June 2007

**Time:** 5:30pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

20-23, 29 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner notified Applicant's representative, Dr. Larson the amendment after final (including a declaration) submitted February 27, 2007 would be entered and the previous advisory action mailed May 31, 2007 would be vacated and a review for allowable subject matter would ensue. Consequently, the Examiner has carefully reviewed the claimed invention and reconsidered Applicant's last submission and has set forth another advisory action. .